Soil response to canopy position and feral pig disturbance beneath *Quercus agrifolia* on Santa Cruz Island, California
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Abstract

We assessed the effects of canopy position and feral pig disturbance on soil moisture, pH, and inorganic nitrogen concentrations beneath canopies of *Quercus agrifolia* and surrounding grasslands on Santa Cruz Island, California. Percent soil moisture, pH, nitrate-N, and total inorganic nitrogen varied significantly with canopy position. Soil moisture decreased, and pH increased along transects from the subcanopy trunk position to the open grassland outside the canopy. Nitrate and total nitrogen increased from the trunk position to midcanopy and then decreased from midcanopy to the open grassland position. The ratio of nitrate to ammonium varied with canopy position, even after controlling for the effects of soil moisture and pH, both of which strongly influence rates of nitrogen mineralization. Temporally, soil pH, soil moisture, nitrate-N, and total carbon all varied significantly between drought and non-drought years. Soils from fenced exclosures that removed feral pig disturbance did not exhibit significant differences in any soil property compared to control plots where pig access was permitted. The results of our analysis suggest that the primary factors influencing spatial and temporal variability in oak woodland soils on Santa Cruz Island were subcanopy position and climate variability, respectively. Biotic disturbance by feral pigs did not cause a significant cause in soil properties over the spatial and temporal domains examined in this study. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Soil characteristics are influenced by factors that range from the scale of the individual ped, to global-scale climate patterns. As such, coherent spatial variability in soil properties can occur at multiple spatial scales (Burrough, 1983). In a given ecosystem, some of these scales of variability may dominate over others. For example, ‘resource islands’ (e.g. soil patches with relatively high nitrogen or moisture content) are a salient small-scale feature under trees or shrubs in many arid and semiarid ecosystems (Padien and Lajtha, 1992; Belsky et al., 1993; Ryel et al., 1996).

Although the processes that form resource islands are not well understood (Hook et al., 1994; Schlesinger et al., 1996) their existence under trees and shrubs suggests that individual long-lived plants can exert a significant influence upon soil properties in arid and semiarid environments. Moreover, the spatial patterns of these properties might persist in the face of other influential factors such as biological disturbance or climate variation. Alternatively, physical and chemical disturbance of soils by introduced animals may alter biogeochemical and physical soil...
properties (Barrett, 1982; Singer et al., 1984) and thus modify subcanopy spatial patterns in soil resources. The relative dominance of plant/soil relationships, biological disturbance, and climate dynamics as drivers of soil spatial variability is poorly understood.

Our aim is to shed light on this issue by attempting to decouple the effects of plant/soil interactions and biological disturbance on soil resource distribution beneath the canopy of *Quercus agrifolia*. We examined spatial patterns in soil resources under 10 trees on Santa Cruz Island, California over a 5-year period. Feral pigs (*Sus scrofa*), whose rooting is a major biological disturbance under oak canopies on the island, were excluded from a 36 m² experimental plot beneath each tree. We quantified and compared variability in soil nitrogen, acidity, and moisture content associated with subcanopy spatial position, the exclusion or presence of feral pigs, and interannual variation in rainfall.

We focused on nitrogen and soil moisture because of the importance of these resources in controlling oak regeneration and subcanopy herbaceous species in oak woodland ecosystems in California (Parker, 1977; Dunn, 1980; Parker and Muller, 1982; Callaway, 1990). Soil pH, as it influences nutrient exchange rates and soil biotic communities, is also an important property. In addition, these variables can respond rapidly to factors such as removal of pigs, or climate fluctuations. More conservative soil properties, such as texture, which are also important for plant growth, are less responsive to environmental change over short time periods (e.g. less than 10 years).

Our goals in this paper are to characterize the spatial patterns of soil properties beneath *Q. agrifolia* in an open woodland setting, and to determine whether foraging by feral pigs has an influence on these properties. An understanding of the relative magnitude and duration of these influences may benefit ecosystem restoration in areas with populations of large introduced animals. The extent to which disturbance interferes with the spatial dynamics of the soil/plant system may also have implications for the subcanopy plant community.

2. Background

Oak woodlands are an ecologically important vegetation formation throughout much of California, and on Santa Cruz Island, where they occur in patchy mosaics with grassland, coastal sage scrub and chaparral (Callaway and Davis, 1993). Oak woodlands provide vital habitat and food resources for numerous California mammals and birds (Graves, 1977; Barrett, 1978, 1980). On Santa Cruz Island, endemic species, such as the Island Fox, Island Spotted Skunk, and Island Scrub Jay, rely on acorn production to maintain viable population densities (Laughrin, 1977; Schuyler, 1988).

In addition to competing with other species for food resources, feral pigs on Santa Cruz Island also impact oak regeneration by feeding on oak seedlings (Peart et al., 1994) and consuming acorn crops (Griffin, 1971; Barrett, 1980; Sterner, 1990). Rooting of surface soils by pigs produces a ‘roto-tilled’ appearance in feeding areas (Barrett, 1982; Singer et al., 1984) and can modify biological, physical and chemical properties of soil, including populations of soil biota (Bennett, 1993) and nitrogen fractions (Barrett, 1982; Singer et al., 1984). Some of these effects may be related to aeration of the topsoil by pig rooting, and alteration of soil chemistry through defecation. Consequently, feral pigs may also influence oak regeneration and recruitment of herbaceous species indirectly by modifying subcanopy soil properties, although this linkage has not been established.

It has, however, been shown that soil properties beneath *Q. agrifolia* can impact the survival of oak seedlings and herbaceous plants. In particular, seedlings of *Q. agrifolia* are notably dependent on near-surface soil water (Callaway, 1990; Callaway and D’Antonio, 1991) and nitrogen availability may limit the number and spacing of oak seedlings and dominant subcanopy annuals in *Q. agrifolia* woodlands (Parker, 1977; Dunn, 1980; Parker and Muller, 1982). As such, spatial patterns in soil moisture and available nitrogen under oak canopies can influence distributions of oak seedlings and herbaceous species in the subcanopy setting.

The presence of mature *Q. agrifolia* canopies may itself influence spatial patterns of nitrogen availability and related soil properties. Similar effects have been studied for other tree species (Mergen and Malcolm, 1955; Zinke, 1962; Challinor, 1968; Garcia-Moya and McKell, 1970; Holland, 1973; Tiedemann and Klemmedson, 1973; Callaway and Nadkarni, 1991). For example, increasing soil moisture with proximity
to the trunk has been attributed to increased stemflow (Gersper and Holowaychuk, 1970), suppressed evaporation due to canopy shadowing and insulation by leaf litter (Birkeland, 1984), and the influence of greater organic matter content on soil structure under the canopy (Brady, 1984). Variations in soil pH may relate to the influence of the canopy on leaching processes (Birkeland, 1984), primarily through spatial patterns in the acidity of litter underneath the canopy (Zinke, 1962), or through stemflow processes (Gersper and Holowaychuk, 1970). Similarly, subcanopy patterns in soil nitrogen (Harradine, 1954) have been ascribed to litter characteristics (Zinke, 1962; Callaway and Nadkarni, 1991) or facilitation by the tree canopy of subcanopy species which contribute organic matter (Garcia-Moya and McKell, 1970).

Other authors have attributed spatial patterns of soil nitrogen to the absorption of nutrients from open areas by extensive lateral roots and the deposition of these nutrient stores under the canopy in the form of litter fall (Tiedemann and Klemmedson, 1973; Parker and Muller, 1982). Nitrogen redistribution could also take place through analogous processes in the vertical direction.

Aside from the quantity and quality of organic matter, nitrogen mineralization depends on decomposition rates, which in turn, are related to other factors that affect microbial populations (Attiwill and Leeper, 1987). In particular, nitrification, compared to ammonification, is disproportionately affected by soil moisture, pH, and soil aeration (Stanford and Smith, 1972; Stanford and Epstein, 1974) because of the low tolerance of autotrophic nitrifying bacteria for moisture stress, acidity, and anaerobic conditions (Barber, 1984; Attiwill and Leeper, 1987; Paul and Clark, 1989).

This study concerned three factors that may influence spatial patterns in soil properties under Q. agrifolia woodland on Santa Cruz Island: (a) subcanopy position; (b) feral pig disturbance; and (c) climatic variability. The tree canopy may influence nitrogen dynamics through effects on soil moisture, soil chemistry, and nutrient cycling. Feral pig disturbance may alter litter mixing, aerate the soil, alter soil structure, and contribute organic matter. Temporal fluctuations in climate also may influence nitrogen dynamics through effects on soil moisture and consequent effects on litter characteristics. We focused on soil resources that may limit regeneration of Q. agrifolia and herbaceous species beneath the canopy. Experimental exclusion of feral pigs beneath the canopies of 10 mature woodland oaks after a long period of intense foraging activity provided a rare opportunity to understand pig disturbance effects relative to other factors. In addition, the conclusion of a long-term drought during the span of this project allowed us to evaluate the effect of short-term climate variability relative to biotic disturbance and tree effects.

3. Methods

3.1. Study area

Soil samples were collected in 1989, 1990, and 1993 beneath 10 widely dispersed oaks in the E-W trending central valley on Santa Cruz Island (119°W, 34°N) (Fig. 1). Santa Cruz is the largest of the California Channel Islands (248 km²) and is located 37 km south of Santa Barbara, California. The island is of ecological interest due, in part, to high levels of endemism among plants and animals (Schuyler, 1993).

Weather records from the central valley typify a Mediterranean-type climate regime, with mean monthly temperatures from 12 to 21°C. Mean annual precipitation is 510 mm (Brumbaugh, 1980), most of which falls between November and April.

This study was conducted in oak woodlands, which are found mostly on north-facing slopes and in upper flood plains. Oak woodlands consist of open grassland with scattered individual oak trees (Q. agrifolia and Q. tomentella, an endemic species) and oak shrubs (Q. berberidifolia). This is the most widespread of the 10 plant communities that have been described for the island (Philbrick and Haller, 1977; Jones et al., 1993).

The soils examined in this study are Haploxerolls and Argixerolls formed from volcanic parent materials (Butterworth et al., 1993). They are atypical of the majority of soils on the island, which are weakly developed, shallow, and severely eroded. Soils under oaks are typically 30–90 cm deep with fine loam texture, massive or blocky structure, and pH ranging from 5.5 to 7.5 (Butterworth et al., 1993).

Introduced animals are the predominant soil disturbance factor on Santa Cruz Island. Pigs (S. scrofa) and sheep (Ovis aries) were introduced to the island in 1853 and had become feral by 1920. In the early
1980s the sheep population was estimated at 25,000 and the feral pig population at several thousand (P. Schuyler, personal communication) with several hundred free ranging cattle. Between 1981 and 1989 over 37,000 sheep were eradicated by shooting, and in 1988 the cattle were removed. Sheep carcasses were consumed by feral pigs (Schuyler, 1993), driving an increase in the pig population during the late 1980s. Associated with this population increase were increases in the extent and severity of visible rooting and soil disturbance, especially in the oak woodlands which are favored habitat for feral pigs (Sterner, 1990).

3.2. Field methods

Soils were first sampled between April and June 1989 under 10 large, isolated oaks distributed over roughly 10 km². This time-frame was chosen to coincide with a period (early dry season) over which soil moisture and soil microbial populations were expected to be relatively stable. The last significant rainfall (and possible leaching event) of 1989 occurred in February (6.0 cm). Analysis of variance showed no difference in N-concentration between samples collected at different months in 1989. Soils were sampled again in April 1990 and April 1993. Rainfall was high during February 1990 (4.2 cm) and March 1993 (12.2 cm). Significant leaching may have occurred as a consequence of these rainfall events.

The mid- and late-1980s were a period of drought throughout southern California. In 1989 and 1990 annual precipitation on the island was 130 and 190 mm, only 20–40% of the long term average (510 mm). The drought ended in 1991. The 1991, 1992, and 1993 rainy seasons were particularly wet.

Ten trees were chosen for their mature age (at least 150 years) and for accessibility. In addition sampled trees were restricted to shallow north-facing slopes and had to be of sufficient size to accommodate two large pig exclosure and control plots. Tree heights ranged from 16 to 28 m (mean=20), diameter at breast height ranged from 1.13 to 2.0 m (mean=1.63) and canopy width ranges from 8 to 17.3 m (mean=11.28). Eight of the 10 trees (trees one through eight) were situated along the primary drainage of the central valley. The remaining two were near the mouth of the main outlet of the valley (Fig. 1). Although the frequency of
pig visitation is not known for each tree, the level of surface disruption was roughly comparable between trees.

In 1989, pig exclosures were constructed of pig wire strung around 10 cm × 10 cm fence posts beneath each tree (Fig. 2) (Peart et al., 1994). The wire is buried to a depth of 0.5 m and stands 1.1 m above ground. These structures provide freedom of movement for birds, squirrels, foxes and skunks, but prohibit access by pigs. Unfenced control plots that do permit pig access are marked by short rebar stakes at the corners. Each exclosure and control plot is 36 m² in size.

In 1989, two transects were laid out along randomly selected compass directions under each tree. Composite surface samples of 0–15 cm were taken along one transect at the trunk (position A), midcanopy (B), canopy edge (C), and outside the canopy (D) (Fig. 2). Samples were taken along the second transect at positions B and C only. Composite samples were also taken at midcanopy within the exclosure and control plots at each tree. At this time, exclosures had been standing for only 4 months, yet little sign of pre-construction disturbance remained. The control plots, however, exhibited typical signs of pig disturbance. Exclosures and control plots were sampled again in April 1990 and April 1993. A total of 99 samples were collected in 1989, 20 in 1990, and 20 in 1993. Samples were sealed in polythene bags.

3.3. Laboratory methods

Laboratory analyses were conducted in the Geography Department Soils Lab at University of California, Santa Barbara following procedures described by Anderson and Ingram (1989) and Setaro and Jones (unpubl.). Prior to analysis, stones, roots and litter were removed from the soil samples by hand. Percent soil moisture was determined gravimetrically. Soil pH was analyzed by glass electrode using a 1:1 soil:water ratio.

Nitrate and ammonium concentrations in μg g⁻¹ were determined using colorimetric assays. From each soil sample, three sub-samples were extracted with 2N KCl using a 4:1 extractant:soil ratio. Filter papers were rinsed before extraction to eliminate NH₄⁺ contamination. Extracts were assayed in duplicate for NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺. With three subsamples and two replicates for each test, there are a total of six NO₃⁻ and six NH₄⁺ measurements for each sample. The six measurements were averaged to produce single NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ values for each soil sample. Total carbon (μg g⁻¹) was also determined for exclosure and control samples only.

3.4. Statistical methods

Linear regression, analysis of variance, and multiple comparison procedures were used to evaluate relationships between the soil properties, and how these properties varied with canopy position and feral pig exclusion (exclosure versus control). Data were transformed to adjust for skewed distributions. Transformations included the natural log of NO₃⁻ concentration, the square root of NH₄⁺ concentration, and the square root of percent soil moisture. Untransformed values were used for all other variables.

One set of simple regression analyses was used to establish relationships between soil moisture and NO₃⁻, and pH and NH₄⁺. A second set of multiple regressions was performed on total inorganic nitrogen (TN, the sum of nitrate and ammonium) and on the ratio of nitrate to ammonium (N₁₅) using both soil moisture and pH as explanatory variables.

Analyses of variance were conducted using soil moisture, pH, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺, TN, N₁₅, and the residuals from the four regression models to determine whether these variables varied either by canopy...
position or pig exclusion. The residuals from the regression models contained the variance in the nitrogen variables that still remained after the effect of soil moisture and pH had been accounted for. Thus, ANOVA tests on the regression residuals were used to determine whether position or field treatment had any effect on NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^-$, TN, or Nrat after controlling for the effects of soil moisture and pH.

ANOVA results only confirm or deny the null hypothesis that all factor-level means are identical. Contrast statements allow the comparison of several factor-level means to test whether a specified relationship exists among those means. Contrast statements were employed as post hoc tests to explore whether specific patterns were exhibited by the soil properties as a function of position beneath the canopy. A vector of coefficients (K) is defined which sums to zero and represents a trend across the factor means. For example, a contrast statement (i.e. K vector) of [-3 -1 1 3], would be used to test for the significance of an upward linear trend in some variable across four factor levels. The factor means are multiplied by their associated coefficients in the K vector and summed to produce an L value which is divided by its standard error and compared to a t-statistic (Neter et al., 1990). That is

$$L_x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i k_i,$$

where $x$ is the variable of interest, $x_i$ is the mean value of the variable $x$ within factor level $i$ (e.g. subcanopy position B) and $k_i$ is the contrast-statement coefficient associated with factor level $i$. The standard error of $L$ (σL) is easily determined (Neter et al., 1990). For this analysis, contrast statements were constructed to examine trends in soil moisture, pH and NO$_3^-$ with subcanopy position.

### 4. Results and discussion

#### 4.1. Basic relationships

Soils in 1989 and 1990 were dry, depleted in total carbon, slightly acidic, and high in nitrate relative to the post-drought conditions in 1993 (Table 1). Increased total carbon after the drought probably resulted from greater biomass productivity in response to increased precipitation. The reduction in nitrate-N in post-drought years could have resulted from either increased leaching or greater N uptake by the more productive subcanopy plant community.

Correlations and regressions among the variables used in this study show that NO$_3^-$ was strongly related to percent soil moisture ($r^2=0.48$) whereas NH$_4^+$ and soil moisture were not related (Tables 2 and 3, Fig. 3A). Conversely, NH$_4^+$ was inversely related to pH ($r^2=0.38$) while NO$_3^-$ and pH were not related.

#### Table 1

Summary statistics for the soil data used in this research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Soil H$_2$O</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$+NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$:NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>Total C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trunk (A)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.8 (3.5)</td>
<td>5.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>24.2 (22.8)</td>
<td>8.4 (9.1)</td>
<td>32.6 (21.4)</td>
<td>61.7 (127)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midcanopy (B)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10.9 (3.7)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>38.7 (27.2)</td>
<td>3.6 (4.9)</td>
<td>41.9 (26.9)</td>
<td>45.3 (85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy edge (C)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.2 (5.3)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>25.7 (23.9)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>29.0 (24.3)</td>
<td>27.7 (78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside canopy (D)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.9 (3.7)</td>
<td>6.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.6)</td>
<td>6.9 (3.9)</td>
<td>3.7 (5.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclosure (1989)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.3 (3.9)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>43.7 (31.4)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>45.7 (31.0)</td>
<td>64.7 (137)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (1989)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.3 (4.2)</td>
<td>5.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>35.1 (27.3)</td>
<td>3.8 (5.1)</td>
<td>38.7 (25.6)</td>
<td>53.9 (73)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989 (all soils)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>9.1 (4.5)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>28.2 (26.0)</td>
<td>4.2 (6.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclosure (1990)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.5 (2.1)</td>
<td>5.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>42.7 (26.6)</td>
<td>4.6 (3.9)</td>
<td>47.4 (25.1)</td>
<td>17.8 (17.0)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (1990)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.5 (4.8)</td>
<td>5.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>51.5 (32.5)</td>
<td>4.3 (3.4)</td>
<td>52.8 (32.1)</td>
<td>18.7 (18.9)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990 (all soils)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.5 (3.8)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>47.1 (29.2)</td>
<td>4.4 (3.6)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclosure (1993)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40.9 (10.2)</td>
<td>6.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>17.0 (10.0)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>18.7 (10.2)</td>
<td>15.8 (12.6)</td>
<td>8.2 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (1993)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.6 (11.8)</td>
<td>6.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>19.1 (12.6)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>20.6 (13.8)</td>
<td>16.2 (9.2)</td>
<td>8.4 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993 (all soils)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>41.0 (11.0)</td>
<td>6.8 (0.7)*</td>
<td>18.0 (11.2)*</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>8.3 (3.3)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are means and standard deviations (in parentheses). Asterisks (*) in the last row (1993) indicate properties for which the 1993 values were significantly different from 1990 values at $p=0.01$. Soil moisture is given in percent and N concentrations are in μg·g$^{-1}$. 
Table 2

Correlations between soil properties a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Nitrate</th>
<th>Ammonium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.71*</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.64*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Asterisks indicate significance at \( p=0.01 \).

(Tables 2 and 3, Fig. 3B). These findings suggest that nitrification was more limited by moisture than ammonification, and that ammonification was limited under more alkaline conditions.

The regression model of TN as predicted by soil moisture and pH (Table 3; Figs. 3C and 4A) suggests that nitrogen mineralization in general (ammonification and nitrification combined) increased with soil moisture and decreased with pH. However, the model of \( N_{\text{rat}} \) (Table 3; Figs. 3D and 4B) shows that, relative to ammonium, nitrate increased with both soil moisture and with pH, implying that nitrification was limited by low soil moisture and by acid conditions. This supports the findings of others that at high pH, ammonium concentration is low relative to nitrate concentration because of the suitability of alkaline conditions for nitrifying bacteria, and the resultant increase in the transition of available nitrogen from the ammonium pool to the nitrate pool (Stanford and Epstein, 1974; Barber, 1984; Attiwill and Leeper, 1987; Paul and Clark, 1989). Despite this effect, TN was still lower under dry conditions (Fig. 4A), suggesting that the influence of soil moisture on N mineralization is more important than pH within the range of conditions found in this study.

4.2. Analysis of variance and multiple comparisons

Analysis of variance was used to determine whether any of the soil properties varied as a function of canopy position or field treatment. Contrast statements were used as post hoc analyses to test for specific patterns in soil properties as a function of canopy position. The critical \( t \)-value for each contrast test was \( t(0.995, dfe)=3.3 \). Residuals from the regression models (described earlier) were also tested using ANOVA to determine whether position still had an effect on nitrogen after adjusting for the effects of soil moisture and pH.

4.2.1. Canopy position effects

Soil moisture content, pH, \( \text{NO}_3^- \), and TN varied by canopy position in 1989 (Table 4, Fig. 5). In general, soil moisture decreased, and pH increased with distance from the trunk of the tree. Nitrate was low at the trunk position, increased towards midcanopy and decreased towards the canopy edge (Fig. 5). These trends were tested explicitly using contrast statements.

4.2.2. Moisture content

The contrast \( K=[3 3 -1 -5] \) was used to test for constant soil moisture between the trunk and midcanopy positions (A and B), and a linear decrease in soil moisture from the midcanopy position to beyond the canopy edge (from positions B to D) as suggested Fig. 5A. The \( t \)-value was 8.9, thus supporting the hypothesized trend. Positions A and B are influenced by similar shade regimes, and by litter layers that are similar in composition and depth. Position C (canopy edge) gets more sun than positions A and B, typically has a thinner litter layer, and less organic matter. Soils at position D are even more exposed, and have still less organic matter. Shading and the thick litter layer...
beneath the canopy will protect the upper soil from the drying effects of the sun and wind.

4.2.3. pH

The contrast $K=[-3 -1 1 3]$ was used to test for an upward linear trend in pH from positions A to D, as suggested Fig. 5B. The $t$-value of 5.9 confirmed the presence of such a trend. This pattern could have been due to several factors. The litter layer may have decreasing acidity with distance from the trunk as suggested by Zinke (1962). Low pH of soils near the trunk might also be due to the acidity of stem flow water which enters the soil at this position (Gersper and Holowaychuk, 1970). In addition, there may be greater leaching at the trunk position due to the facilitation of water infiltration by stem flow.

4.2.4. Nitrate

The contrast $K=[1 2 1 -4]$ was used to test whether $\text{NO}_3^-$ increased from positions A to B and then decreased from positions B to D, as suggested Fig. 5C. The statement produced a $t$-statistic of 9.5, supporting the presence of this pattern. If moisture content was equal at positions A and B, then the more alkaline conditions at position B should have permitted greater nitrification than at position A. That is, the more alkaline conditions at midcanopy, in combination with relatively high soil moisture, may have combined to
provide a conducive setting for nitrification, leading to maximum nitrate values at this position. Soil moisture, which begins to decline beyond the midcanopy position, may have increasingly limited nitrification between positions C and D. Total inorganic nitrogen (TN) and N\textsubscript{rat} exhibited the same trend as nitrate, but only TN differed significantly with canopy position.

Other factors in addition to soil moisture and pH may have influenced spatial patterns in nitrate beneath the tree canopy. For example, lower nitrogen content and greater acidity of bark litter, or the leaching effects of stem flow could both lead to lower NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentration near the trunk. In addition, sequestering of N from outside the canopy by lateral roots, and the deposition of N via litter fall beneath the canopy could contribute to the observed patterns of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentration at different canopy positions. Due to the potential existence of such processes, we ran ANOVA tests for the residuals from the regression models (described earlier) to determine whether canopy position still had an effect on the nitrogen variables after adjusting for the influence of soil moisture and pH.

### 4.2.5 Regression model residuals

The residuals from the N\textsubscript{rat} model varied significantly by subcanopy position (Fig. 6, Table 4). Thus,
even after accounting for the effects of soil moisture and pH, there was still a coherent spatial pattern in the ratio of nitrate to ammonium beneath the canopy of *Q. agrifolia*. This implies that there were other canopy related factors, such as those mentioned earlier, influencing either organic nitrogen levels or populations of autotrophic nitrifying bacteria, i.e. those that are capable of transforming nitrogen from the ammonium pool into the nitrate pool.

4.2.6. **Field treatment effects**

Since exclosure and control plots were placed at the midcanopy position beneath each tree (Fig. 2), we were able to compare soil properties for the two different treatments (exclosures and controls) for soils that were sampled only from the midcanopy position (position B). The potentially confounding effect of canopy position, demonstrated earlier, is thus controlled. There was no significant difference in any soil property sampled from exclosure versus control plots in either 1989, 1990, or 1993. In 1989, 4–5 months following the construction of the experimental plots, the most notable difference between soils from the two treatments was nitrate concentration, with 43.7 and 33.3 μg g⁻¹ in exclosures and controls, respectively (Table 1). By 1990, this apparent trend had reversed, with nitrate concentrations of 42.7 and 51.5 μg g⁻¹ in exclosures and controls, respectively (Table 1). By 1993 no apparent difference remained in nitrate concentration or any other soil property (Table 1).

The results from the field treatment analysis are unclear. When controlling for the influence of canopy...
position, the field treatment effect was insignificant for all soil properties considered. Nevertheless, there were considerable differences in mean nitrate values that were suggestive of a field treatment effect. It is possible that increased biomass productivity within exclosures (Peart et al., 1994) resulted in rates of nitrate uptake that were sufficient to draw nitrate concentrations below those found in control plots in 1990. In 1993, however, nitrate concentrations from exclosures were only marginally lower than those in control plots, although both were depressed relative to 1989 and 1990, possibly due to leaching.

5. Conclusions

In Q. agrifolia woodlands, as in other savanna-type ecosystems, the transition from tree trunk to open grassland encompasses strong gradients in light, wind, and temperature regimes; mediation of precipitation; and organic matter inputs. These gradients, in turn, can influence soil physical and chemical properties (such as soil moisture, pH, and nutrient dynamics) in complex and interrelated ways. The resulting spatial patterns in soil characteristics are themselves small-scale environmental gradients that may impact oak regeneration and patterns in subcanopy plant communities (Maranon and Bartolome, 1993). The effects of soil disturbance (such as rooting by feral pigs) can be better understood if examined in the context of other factors such as spatial and temporal patterns produced by plant/soil/climate relationships.

This work establishes that, in Santa Cruz Island Q. agrifolia woodlands, soil properties did vary with canopy position. In general, soil moisture and nitrate had similar spatial patterns relative to canopy position, with highest values at midcanopy positions, while soil acidity and ammonium highest values near the trunk (Table 1, Fig. 3).

Two results are of particular interest. First, even after controlling for the influence of soil moisture and pH, there was still a significant variation in the ratio
of nitrate to ammonium between canopy positions. This residual position effect could have been related to either chemical alteration of precipitation at different canopy positions; varying abundance or chemical composition of organic matter inputs; redistribution of nitrogen through lateral root uptake and subsequent subcanopy deposition; or by subcanopy temperature regimes.

Second, the lack of any significant effect on soil properties from experimental exclusion of feral pigs for 5 years indicates that physical disturbance of soils had no direct influence on resource conditions in this environment. By 1992 pig exclosures had more than double the number of woody seedlings than controls (Peart et al., 1994). However, in 1993, pig exclosures still were no different than controls in any soil property.

Spatial variability in soil properties under *Q. agrifolia* was primarily associated with position beneath the canopy. Soil characteristics also varied temporally in response to fluctuations in rainfall over the period from 1989 to 1993. However, different levels of biotic disturbance did not significantly contribute to heterogeneity in percent soil moisture, pH, or inorganic nitrogen concentrations. We, thus, cannot conclude that disturbance by feral pigs contributes to either the spatial or temporal variability in the soil properties studied in this semi-arid oak woodland. Over longer time periods, removal of pig disturbance, or fluctuations in pig populations, may influence soil characteristics directly through changes in the regime of soil disruption and nutrient inputs, or indirectly through changes in the suppression of understory vegetation.
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