pine was superior to haloperidol for key symptom domains and parkinsonian adverse events. Implications of these data for the therapeutics of this severely ill subgroup are discussed.

101. RELAPSE PREVENTION WITH OLANZAPINE

C.M. Beasley, Jr., S.H. Hamilton, M.R.K. Dossenbach
Eli Lilly and Company, Lilly Corporate Center, Indianapolis, IN 46285

The objective of this study was to assess the efficacy of olanzapine, compared with placebo in preventing psychotic relapse in stable, minimally symptomatic patients with schizophrenia.

Patients who had been outpatients, minimally symptomatic, and stable for at least 6 weeks underwent prospective conversion to olanzapine over the course of 6 weeks followed by an 8-week observation period to prospectively confirm stability. Patients who were stable on a fixed dose of olanzapine monotherapy prior to enrollment entered the 8-week observation phase to confirm stabilization. Following 6 to 14 weeks of observation, 224 patients were randomized to continued oral olanzapine, 10–20 mg/day and 102 patients were randomized to placebo. Patients were examined in person at least every 2 weeks and telephone contact was required if patients were not examined in person at least weekly. Statistical methods allowing sequential monitoring of relapse rates were employed to protect against continuing an ineffective treatment.

Based on robust superiority of olanzapine, the study was terminated early. By Kaplan-Meier analysis, the 6-month cumulative relapse rate for olanzapine was 6% and for placebo was 55%. The difference between treatment groups in the time to relapse curves was significant (p < 0.001). Additional efficacy and safety results will be discussed along with the monitoring techniques.

102. KINETICS AND SAFETY OF A NOVEL RISPERIDONE DEPOT FORMULATION

M. Eerdekens (1), M. Rasmussen (1), A. Vermeulen (1), R. Lowenthal (2), A. Van Peer (2)

(1) Janssen Research Foundation, Beere, Belgium, B-2340; (2) Janssen Research Foundation, Titusville, NJ 08502

The bioavailability of a new intramuscular depot formulation and of oral doses of risperidone was assessed in patients with schizophrenia. Three groups of stable patients with schizophrenia received oral doses of risperidone (2, 4, or 6 mg/day) during weeks 1–3 and oral risperidone at half those doses during weeks 4–5. During weeks 2–10, the three groups received depot doses of risperidone (25, 50, or 75 mg, respectively) every 2 weeks (5 injections). Plasma concentrations of unchanged risperidone and of the active moiety (risperidone + 9-hydroxyrisperidone) were determined: Efficiency, adverse events, vital signs, and the injection site were evaluated regularly. Total daily exposure to the active moiety was equivalent after oral and depot dosing, i.e., the 90% confidence intervals for the mean steady state AUC and Cav ratio (depot vs. oral) were all within the bioequivalence range of 80% to 120%. Peak plasma concentrations were significantly lower (25% to 32%) after depot than oral dosing. The most frequent adverse events were either influenza-like symptoms or of a psychiatric nature. No consistent, clinically relevant changes in vital signs, ECG, or laboratory test results were observed. Only minor discomfort at the injection site was noted in a few patients. Patients remained symptomatically stable when treatment was changed from an oral to a depot regimen. Bioequivalence of oral and IM depot dosing of risperidone was demonstrated. Moreover, IM depot dosing was as well tolerated and efficacious as oral dosing.

103. THE IMPLICATIONS OF ANTIPSYCHOTIC TREATMENT PATTERNS ON HEALTH OUTCOMES IN SCHIZOPHRENIA

L. Engelhart, C. Janagap, R. White, M. Rothman
Janssen Research Foundation, Titusville, NJ 08560

We assessed the impact of continuous therapy (CT), intermittent therapy (IT), or low-exposure therapy (LT) on quality of life in the usual care setting for patients with schizophrenia. Data on prescribed and dispensed medications, assessments of quality of life, symptoms, and hospitalizations from a one-year, naturalistic, randomized clinical trial were analyzed. Of 546 patients who completed the study, 159 were treated with CT (>90% of study days on drug), 248 with IT (>90% and >50% days on drug, and intermittent use), and 139 with LT (≤50% days on drug). Patients were further classified as receiving monotherapy or polytherapy. SF-36 Mental Component Scores (MCS) and risk of relapse were compared for each group using regression models. Relative to LT, patients treated with CT or IT had better MCS at one year (both p < 0.01). Risk of relapse was lower for patients receiving CT (OR = 0.33, p < 0.01) and IT (OR = 0.78, p = 0.43), relative to LT. There were no differences in MCS for patients treated with monotherapy or polytherapy, however, patients receiving monotherapy had a 69% lower risk of relapse (p < 0.01). These results suggest a high proportion of patients in usual care do not receive optimal maintenance therapy following acute relapse. Continuous therapy was associated with better quality of life and relapse prevention than other patterns of treatment, and monotherapy reduced patients’ risk of relapse.

104. RISPERIDONE VS HALOPERIDOL FOR RELAPSE PREVENTION IN SCHIZOPHRENIA AND SCHIZOAFFECTIVE DISORDER: A LONG-TERM DOUBLE-BLIND COMPARISON

J.G. Csernansky (1), A. Okamoto (2)

(1) Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110; (2) Janssen Research Foundation, Titusville, New Jersey 08560

A multicenter, randomized, double-blind comparison of risperidone (RIS) and haloperidol (HAL) in stable outpatient schizophrenics and patients with schizoaffective disorder was conducted to compare the time to relapse. Patients continued double-blind treatment until the last patient had completed 1 year. Assessments were made weekly for the first 4 weeks and at 4-week intervals thereafter. Scales used to assess efficacy included the total score on PANSS and all PANSS subscale scores. Safety evaluations included ESRS and clinical laboratory tests, including weight gain. Of 365 treated patients in the trial, 41 (23.2%) in the RIS and 65 (34.6%) in the HAL groups relapsed by the end of the first year (P = .009). During the entire trial, 45 (25.4%) patients on RIS and 75 (39.9%) patients on HAL relapsed (P = .002). Patients in the RIS group experienced only a modest degree of weight gain (5.0 lbs at endpoint), a low rate of TD (0.6%), and a low rate of EPS. This study provides evidence for the long-term effectiveness of RIS and corroborates earlier pivotal trials in which RIS was found to be significantly