

Basic Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Review

www.basicresearchjournals.org

Reviewers Guide

PART A: Editorial Office Only

SECTION I

Reviewer's Name:	Dr. Henik
E-Mail:	<hsukorini@yahoo.com>
Manuscript Number:	JASR-129-13
Title:	<i>In-Vitro evaluation of various homeo-fungicides and methanolic plant extracts against mycellial growth of <i>Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. pisi</i></i>
Authors:	-
Date Sent To Reviewer:	24-09-2014
Date Expected From Reviewer:	01-10-2014

PART B: Reviewer Only

SECTION II: Comments per Section of Manuscript

General comment:	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Using simple sentences is better2. The title was in vitro evaluation two types of fungicide, but in this research the author did separate evaluation, so the result was not comprehensive3. For publish in this journal, this research was too preliminary research, it should added some observations to more complete, i.e. hat the effect of fungicide against hyphae, what plant extracts content?
Introduction:	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Using simple sentences is better2. What methods for controlling <i>F. oxysporum f,sp pisi</i> so far?3. Any methods don't used fungicide? For example use plant extract?4. Could the plant extracts work in other disease?

Methodology:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The methodology was not detail, i.e plant preparation, fungicide preparation, etc 2. How to measure each variable? 3. What as control?
Results:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Table 1 and Fig 1 were same? 2. Table 2 and fig 2 were same?
Discussion:	Discussion part was for discussing the result not for rewrite the result, discuss and compare with other preliminary research.

SECTION II (Cont.)

Bibliography/References:	The to write the references were not same with journal guideline
Others:	
Decision:	reject

SECTION III - Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = poor)

Originality:	3
Contribution To The Field:	3
Technical Quality:	4
Clarity Of Presentation :	3
Depth Of Research:	4

SECTION IV - Recommendation: (*Kindly Mark With An X*)

Accept As Is:	
Requires Minor Corrections:	
Requires Moderate Revision:	
Requires Major Revision:	
Submit To Another Publication Such As:	
Reject On Grounds Of (Please Be Specific):	The research was too simple for publishing in this journal. Adding some variable observations was better to get deeply result

SECTION V: Additional Comments

Please add any additional comments (Including comments/suggestions regarding online supplementary materials, if any):