

SUMMARY REPORT*

Manuscript number: 2480

Title: *Plant-Pathogenic Fungi Present on Seeds of Different Pea Cultivars in Poland*

Referee: Henik Sukorini

The manuscript is suitable for publication:

- in its present form
- after minor revision
- after major revision

The manuscript is not suitable for publication

The manuscript can be classified as:

- scientific paper
 - professional paper
 - review
 - other (please indicate category)
-

Comments for the Editorial Board only:

The paper can be accepted with major revision.

- 1. I found inconsistency in writing among methods, result, discussion, and conclusion, In method just mention about isolation, identification, and evaluation weather conditions, but in result author mention about percentage of contaminated seed and mycotoxin, and mycotoxin part was very urgent for your journal, not just telling about pathogen it self like pathology journal.**
- 2. Author observed serially within 2 years, but they don't used statistic for analysis the data. It is better if they used time series analysis.**
- 3. Number and name of pathogen before observation in first year and second year Information are needed, so, the aothor can explain why in the second year species n its number were different.**

Date and signature:
20 Maret 2014

* **Referee's Guidelines.** In your comments to the author(s) please include the following considerations.

1. Does the title adequately reflect the subject of the manuscript?
2. Does the summary give all necessary information about the background, results and conclusions?
3. Are the methods (including statistical analysis) clearly presented?
4. Are tables and figures intelligible without reference to the text?
5. Are conclusions firmly based on the results?
6. Does the manuscript include up-to-date references?

Report for the author(s): *Plant-Pathogenic Fungi Present on Seeds of Different Pea Cultivars in Poland*
MS no. 2480 -

1. The title reflected to the paper.
2. Summary: mention about mycotoxins in discussion and conclusion but no research about mycotoxin in this paper, although actually this is important part related with this journal. How if this paper added with mycotoxin research.
3. Statistical analysis was needed and because the research used research serially, time series analysis also needed.
A number n pathogen before doing the research in the first and second year should be presented to help in discussion part, so in the discussion part not just writing about happen and not happen and its number.
4. Table 2 not in line with result and discussion that mention about percentage but none in table 2.
5. Mycotoxin ability and plant performance and yield in conclusions were not in result

This is to acknowledge and thank

HENIK SUKORINI

for having peer reviewed

manuscript no. 2480

Plant-Pathogenic Fungi Present on Seeds of Different Pea Cultivars in Poland

by

Karolina Wilman, Łukasz Stępień, Izabela Fabiańska, Piotr Kachlicki

for

Arhiv za higijenu rada i toksikologiju
Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology

ISSN: 0004-1254

on 20/03/2014

Nevenka Kopjar
Editor-in-Chief, *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol*

ARCHIVES OF INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE AND TOXICOLOGY
ARHIV ZA HIGIJENU RADA I TOKSIKOLOGIJU

ADDRESS OF THE EDITORIAL OFFICE:

Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology

P.O. Box 291, HR-10001 Zagreb, Croatia

Phone (+385 1) 4673 188; Fax (+385 1) 4673 303; E-mail: arhiv@imi.hr