

COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers

Basic principles to which peer reviewers should adhere

Peer reviewers should:

1. only agree to review manuscripts for which they have the subject expertise required to carry out a proper assessment and which they can assess in a timely manner
2. respect the confidentiality of peer review and not reveal any details of a manuscript or its review, during or after the peer-review process, beyond those that are released by the journal
3. not use information obtained during the peer-review process for their own or any other person's or organization's advantage, or to disadvantage or discredit others
4. declare all potential conflicting of interests, seeking advice from the journal if they are unsure whether something constitutes a relevant interest
5. not allow their reviews to be influenced by the origins of a manuscript, by the nationality, religious or political beliefs, gender or other characteristics of the authors, or by commercial considerations
6. be objective and constructive in their reviews, refraining from being hostile or inflammatory and from making libellous or derogatory personal comments
7. acknowledge that peer review is largely a reciprocal endeavour and undertake to carry out their fair share of reviewing and in a timely manner
8. provide journals with personal and professional information that is accurate and a true representation of their expertise
9. recognize that impersonation of another individual during the review process is considered serious misconduct

kindly read the complete Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers is available at COPE's website

<http://publicationethics.org/files/Peer%20review%20guidelines.pdf>

We sincerely thank you for taking time to evaluate the attached manuscript

Manuscript Evaluation

Reviewer's Information

E-Mail:	hsukorini@yahoo.com
Title:	Ph.D
First Name:	Henik
Last Name:	Sukorini
Affiliation:	Lecturer
Country:	Indonesia
Specialization:	Plant extract, plant pathology

Manuscript Information

Manuscript Number:	AJPS-13.10.15-1363
Manuscript Title:	Insecticidal activity of leaf extract of Eucalyptus camaladulensis Dehnh against Cassava pink mealybug (Phenacoccus manihoti Matile-Ferrero)
Date Sent To Reviewer:	
Date Expected From Reviewer:	

Evaluation Report

Kindly enter comments per section of the manuscript

General comment:	The way to write is very confusing, so many grammar mistakes, please write the manuscript in good English.
Introduction:	
Methodology:	
Results:	
Discussion:	
Bibliography/References:	

Others:	
Decision:	

Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = poor)

Originality:	2
Contribution To The Field:	3
Technical Quality:	2
Clarity Of Presentation :	3
Depth Of Research:	3

Recommendation

Kindly mark with an X

Accept As Is:	
Requires Minor Corrections:	
Requires Moderate Revision:	
Requires Major Revision:	Revise in writing
Submit To Another Publication Such As:	
Reject on grounds of (Please be specific)	

Additional Comments

Please add any additional comments (including comments/suggestions regarding online supplementary materials, if any):

We welcome manuscripts edited by the following organizations:

[JOURNALS CONSORTIUM\(www.journalsconsortium.org\)](http://www.journalsconsortium.org)

[EDITAGE\(www.editage.com\)](http://www.editage.com)

[BIOEDIT\(www.bioedit.co.uk\)](http://www.bioedit.co.uk)



Find messages, documents, photos or people



Compose

Back Archive Move Delete Spam

- Inbox 354
- Unread
- Starred
- Drafts
- Sent
- More

Views Hide

- Photos
- Documents
- Travel
- Coupons
- Tutorials

Folders Hide

- + New Folder
- Junk

Give feedback

Thank you for the Evaluation Yahoo/Inbox

Journal Review Department <review5@academicjournals.org> To: hsukorini@yahoo.com Oct 20, 2015 at 7:16 PM

Dear Dr. Henik Sukorini

We received the evaluations of the reviewed manuscript AJPS-13.10.15-1363. Thank you so much for your assistance. We will request your assistance in the future as the need arises.

Best regards

Editorial Office

