

## COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers

Basic principles to which peer reviewers should adhere

Peer reviewers should:

- only agree to review manuscripts for which they have the subject expertise required to carry out a proper assessment and which they can assess in a timely manner
- respect the confidentiality of peer review and not reveal any details of a manuscript or its review, during or after the peer-review process, beyond those that are released by the journal
- not use information obtained during the peer-review process for their own or any other person's or organization's advantage, or to disadvantage or discredit others
- declare all potential conflicting of interests, seeking advice from the journal if they are unsure whether something constitutes a relevant interest
- not allow their reviews to be influenced by the origins of a manuscript, by the nationality, religious or political beliefs, gender or other characteristics of the authors, or by commercial considerations
- be objective and constructive in their reviews, refraining from being hostile or inflammatory and from making libellous or derogatory personal comments
- acknowledge that peer review is largely a reciprocal endeavour and undertake to carry out their fair share of reviewing and in a timely manner
- provide journals with personal and professional information that is accurate and a true representation of their expertise
- recognize that impersonation of another individual during the review process is considered serious misconduct

kindly read the complete Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers is available at COPE's website

<http://publicationethics.org/files/Peer%20review%20guidelines.pdf>

We sincerely thank you for taking time to evaluate the attached manuscript

## Manuscript Evaluation

### Reviewer's Information

E-Mail:	hsukorini@yahoo.com
Title:	PhD
First Name:	Henik
Last Name:	Sukorini
Affiliation:	Lecturer
Country:	Indonesia
Specialization:	Plant pathology, plant extract, postharvest disease

### Manuscript Information

Manuscript Number:	AJAR 22.09.15.14023
Manuscript Title:	Quality Improvement and Shelf life Extension of Fresh Apricot Fruit cv. Shahroudi Using Postharvest Chemical Treatments and Packaging during Cold Storage

### Evaluation Report

Kindly enter comments per section of the manuscript

General comment:	<b>The manuscript wrote in good english</b>
Introduction:	<b>clear</b>
Methodology:	<b>In the 2.6. the factors that used in this research should be mentioned. The way to LSD test should be in the same way...small to big or big to small The beginning firmness?</b>
Results:	<b>clear</b>

Discussion:	<b>Clear</b>
Bibliography/References:	<b>Clear</b>
Others:	
Decision:	<b>accepted</b>

Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = poor)

Originality:	<b>2</b>
Contribution To The Field:	<b>2</b>
Technical Quality:	<b>1</b>
Clarity Of Presentation:	<b>2</b>
Depth Of Research:	<b>2</b>

**Recommandation**

Kindlymark with an X

Accept As Is:	
Requires Minor Corrections:	<b>x</b>
Requires Moderate Revision:	
Requires Major Revision:	
Submit To Another Publication Such As:	
Reject on grounds of (Please be specific)	

African Journal of Agricultural Research  
ajar@academicjournals.org  
<http://www.academicjournals.org>

## Manuscript Review Form

### **Additional Comments**

Please add any additional comments (Including comments/suggestions regarding online supplementary materials, if any):

We welcome manuscripts edited by the following organizations:

[JOURNALS CONSORTIUM](http://www.journalsconsortium.org)([www.journalsconsortium.org](http://www.journalsconsortium.org))

[EDITAGE](http://www.editage.com)([www.editage.com](http://www.editage.com))

[BIOEDIT](http://www.bioedit.co.uk)([www.bioedit.co.uk](http://www.bioedit.co.uk))